

To: Richard Mylott/R8/USEPA/US@EPA;Matthew Allen/R8/USEPA/US@EPA[]; atthew Allen/R8/USEPA/US@EPA[]
From: "Baltz, Tripp" <abaltz@bna.com>
Sent: Thur 10/11/2012 3:00:30 PM
Subject: Can you confirm?

Good morning, gents: Would you please answer/confirm the following for a story I am doing this morning for BNA about yesterday's Pavillion Working Group meeting? Would it be best if I interviewed Martin?

- 1) CONFIRM: The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency will allow another three months (until Jan. 15) for public comment on controversial data from well testing near Pavillion, Martin Hestmark, a representative of the EPA's regional office, told members of the Pavillion Working Group at a meeting Wednesday in Riverton.
- 2) CONFIRM: The agency said in a statement that it decided to extend the comment period in order "to give stakeholders sufficient time to consider all data" related to the groundwater investigation.
- 3) ANSWER: What were the conclusions of the September 2012 Phase V Sampling Event Summary of Methods and Results?
- 4) ANSWER: If you cannot speak to its conclusions, what was the purpose of the Phase V Summary?
- 5) ANSWER: Is it true some attendees at the meeting also questioned the EPA's effectiveness in drilling the wells? How does EPA respond to that?
- 6) CONFIRM: Dominic DiGiulio, an EPA ground water researcher, told the group that the agency's second well was erroneously logged as being made from stainless steel and reaching a depth of 980 feet. The actual well casing is made from thread and couple steel and actually reaches 989 feet.
- 7) CONFIRM: A camera snaked to the bottom of the well showed that a screen in the well is partially obstructed, but DiGiulio said testing showed that the obstruction was "corrosion material" that wouldn't impact test results, continuing the agency's ongoing assertion that the data from the second well can be used. "Low yield is not a justification to preclude sampling or disregard sampling results from a well," DiGiulio said.
- 8) CONFIRM: The group didn't set a date for its next meeting, but it is expected to meet soon in Casper to review wellbore integrity data collected from wells in the field.
- 9) CONFIRM: DiGiulio said that it's hard to draw unflappable solutions from any data. "With any kind of science, there's always some uncertainty," he said. "We have a lot of data. It's impossible to say anything with 100 percent certainty."

[illegible]

Tripp Baltz

Staff Correspondent

Bloomberg BNA

Mobile 303.358.3371

abaltz@bna.com